# Office of Regulatory Management

#### **Economic Review Form**

Agency name	Commonwealth Transportation Board
Virginia Administrative	24VAC30-92
Code (VAC) Chapter	
citation(s)	
VAC Chapter title(s)	Secondary Street Acceptance Requirements
Action title	Revision of the Secondary Street Acceptance Requirements (24 VAC 30-92) pursuant to Chapter 425 of the 2022 Acts of Assembly.
Date this document prepared	January 29, 2024
Regulatory Stage (including Issuance of	Proposed
Guidance Documents)	

#### **Cost Benefit Analysis**

Complete Tables 1a and 1b for all regulatory actions. You do not need to complete Table 1c if the regulatory action is required by state statute or federal statute or regulation and leaves no discretion in its implementation.

Table 1a should provide analysis for the regulatory approach you are taking. Table 1b should provide analysis for the approach of leaving the current regulations intact (i.e., no further change is implemented). Table 1c should provide analysis for at least one alternative approach. You should not limit yourself to one alternative, however, and can add additional charts as needed.

Report both direct and indirect costs and benefits that can be monetized in Boxes 1 and 2. Report direct and indirect costs and benefits that cannot be monetized in Box 4. See the ORM Regulatory Economic Analysis Manual for additional guidance.

Table 1a: Costs and Benefits of the Proposed Changes (Primary Option)

Table 1a: Costs and	Benefits of the Proposed Ch	langes (Primary Option)	
(1) Direct & Indirect Costs &	The proposed changes would add flexibility to the Secondary Street Acceptance Requirements (SSAR) related to the regulation's		
Benefits	connectivity elements. This new flexibility could provide more		
(Monetized)	opportunities for developers to seek exceptions from VDOT from the		
()		Developers and VDOT are expected to	
		ced construction and maintenance costs due	
		ese benefits cannot be quantified or	
	monetized at this time.	ese benefits cannot be quantified of	
	monetized at this time.		
(2) Present			
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits	
	(a) N/A	(b) N/A	
(3) Net Monetized Benefit			
Delietit			
(4) Other Costs &	Non-monetized benefits from	n the proposed changes accrue to developers	
Benefits (Non-	through the new opportunities	es for them to seek exceptions from the	
Monetized)	regulation's connectivity req	uirements. In addition to the cost savings	
ŕ	noted above, developers may benefit from the decreased time it could		
	take to complete a project for which they receive an exemption from a		
	connection they would otherwise be required to construct under the		
	status quo.		
	Julius que		
	Non-monetized benefits will	accrue to localities under the proposed	
		ew locality-led process for seeking a	
		provide for more input from localities into	
		nunities will also benefit from the addition	
		open space and trees) as a potential reason for	
		1 1	
		from the availability for other potential uses	
	property that would have oth	nerwise been needed to create connections.	
	A notantial indicate and after	ha managed ahanges is that any increase in	
		he proposed changes is that any increase in	
		exceptions granted could result in traffic	
		other approved and constructed	
	* *	isions. This could increase the potential for	
		al or road widening to be needed in the	
(5) 7 0	future.		
(5) Information			
Sources			
	l		

Table 1b: Costs and Benefits under the Status Quo (No change to the regulation)

Table 1b: Costs and	Benefits under the Status Q	uo (No change to the regulation)
(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	In order for a subdivision's streets to be accepted by VDOT into the secondary highway system for maintenance, connections to adjacent roadways and connections to adjacent parcels for future development, in compliance with the SSAR, are required. The status quo regulation has less flexibility in its connectivity elements, which results in costs to developers and VDOT associated with constructing and maintaining connections which could be avoided if one or more exceptions under the proposed change was available. However, these costs cannot be quantified or monetized at this time.	
(2) Present		
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a) N/A	(b) N/A
(3) Net Monetized Benefit		
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non-Monetized)	Non-monetized costs under the status quo include the lack of a mechanism for localities to provide input to the connectivity exception process and the potential for subdivision development projects to take longer than they would under the proposed changes. Communities may also accrue costs under the status quo through the use of property for connections which could otherwise be used for other purposes, such as vegetation preservation.  A benefit of the status quo is that there are fewer allowable exceptions from the required connectivity provisions, which can help to ensure traffic levels are appropriate on all roads within new subdivisions and potentially decrease the need for traffic signals or road widening in the future.	
(5) Information Sources		

Table 1c: Costs and Benefits under Alternative Approach(es)

Table 1c. Costs and	Denemies under Anternative Approach(es)
(1) Direct &	An alternative approach could be to provide fewer new opportunities for
Indirect Costs &	connectivity exceptions within the SSAR. For example, the proposed
Benefits	locality-driven exception process could be eliminated. This would
(Monetized)	potentially still increase the flexibility for connectivity exceptions and
	decrease the minimum number of connections needed for new
	subdivision projects, but it would not provide as much flexibility as that
	included in the current proposal. However, the difference in potential
	exception requests that could be applied for and granted under this
	alternative versus the proposed changes cannot be determined.
	alternative versus the proposed changes cannot be determined.

(2) Present		
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a)	(b)
(3) Net Monetized Benefit		
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)		
(5) Information Sources		

### **Impact on Local Partners**

Use this chart to describe impacts on local partners. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

**Table 2: Impact on Local Partners** 

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	No monetizable direct or indirect costs or benefits to local partners from these proposed changes have been identified.		
(2) Present			
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits	
	(a)	(b)	
(3) Other Costs &	A non-monetizable benefit to localit	ies is the addition of a new locality-	
Benefits (Non-	led process for seeking a connectivity exception, which will give		
Monetized)	localities the opportunity to provide VDOT with information to support a connectivity exception request.		
(4) Assistance			
(5) Information Sources			

### **Impacts on Families**

Use this chart to describe impacts on families. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

**Table 3: Impact on Families** 

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	No direct or indirect costs or benefits to families from these proposed changes have been identified.	
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs (a)	Direct & Indirect Benefits (b)
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)		
(4) Information Sources		

#### **Impacts on Small Businesses**

Use this chart to describe impacts on small businesses. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

**Table 4: Impact on Small Businesses** 

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	No monetizable direct or indirect costs or benefits to small businesses from these changes have been identified.	
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs (a)	Direct & Indirect Benefits (b)
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)	Those developers that are small businesses would directly benefit from these regulatory changes. The proposed changes will allow for more opportunities for developers to request exceptions from the connectivity requirements, potentially leading to a reduction in the minimum required number of connections from new subdivisions to other roads and adjacent properties. However, the number of small businesses affected or	

	the number of connectivity exceptions that may be requested or granted cannot be determined at this time.
(4) Alternatives	
(5) Information Sources	

### **Changes to Number of Regulatory Requirements**

## **Table 5: Regulatory Reduction**

For each individual action, please fill out the appropriate chart to reflect any change in regulatory requirements, costs, regulatory stringency, or the overall length of any guidance documents.

Change in Regulatory Requirements

VAC	Authority of	<b>Initial Count</b>	Additions	Subtractions	Net
Section(s) Involved	Change				Change
24VAC30-	Statutory:	0			0
92-10	Discretionary:	0			0
24VAC30-	Statutory:	0			0
92-60	Discretionary:	10			0
				Total Net Change of	0
				Statutory	
				<b>Requirements:</b>	
				<b>Total Net</b>	0
				Change of	
				Discretionary	
				Requirements:	

Cost Reductions or Increases (if applicable) N/A

Other Decreases or Increases in Regulatory Stringency (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved	Description of Regulatory	Overview of How It Reduces
	Change	or Increases Regulatory
		Burden
24VAC30-92-60	The flexibility added to this	Developers may seek an
	section will result in more	exception from the SSAR
	opportunities for developers to	connectivity requirements for
	request and for VDOT to allow	conditions such as the presence
	exceptions from required	of an easement for an
	connections to adjacent	underground transmission line
	properties or roads for new	or a locality desire for
	developments.	vegetation preservation and
		could potentially realize earlier
		acceptance of network
		additions compared to the
		status quo. These changes
		provide the potential for
		developers to have more

flexibility in meeting
connectivity requirements and
for maintenance of these
roadways and the associated
costs to more quickly be
transferred from the developer
to the Commonwealth.

Length of Guidance Documents (only applicable if guidance document is being revised)  $\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}$